

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,587	10/10/2003	Joakim Berglund	07589.0066.NPUS01 2586  EXAMINER -	
28694 75	90 06/10/2005			
NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP 1300 EYE STREET NW SUITE 400 EAST			HWU, DAVIS D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3752	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		wh					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/605,587	BERGLUND ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Davis D. Hwu	3752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 O	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 Q.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers		·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	of the certified copies not receive	zu.					
	•						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/03, 3/15/04.	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	·						
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	etion Summary	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050607					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: 16 is used to define a cylinder and a side wall. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claims 7/5 and 8/5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend on another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hasegawa et al.

Hasegawa et al. shows a fuel injector comprising a chamber 34 with a fuel inlet and a plurality of fuel outlets 35, and comprising a fuel distributor 7 that is arranged in the chamber 34 for the purpose of distributing fuel introduced into the chamber 34 via the fuel inlet to the outlets, characterized in that the fuel distributor 7 comprises a generally rotary symmetric distributor, wherein the chamber 34 is delimited by at least one side wall 341 and that the fuel distributor 7 defines a lid or plug that forms an end wall in relation thereto as recited in claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/605,587 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al. in view of Baxter et al.

Baxter et al. teaches a method of assembling a fuel injector in which various parts are welded together to form strong connections between the parts. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Hasegawa et al. by attaching the fuel distributor to an adjacent side wall by means of welding as taught by Baxter et al. to form a strong bond.

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al.

It has been held that a recitation with the respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations.

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3752

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Davis Hwu

DAVIS HWU PRIMARY EXAMINER